

1 MICHAEL A. LADRA, State Bar No. 64037
Email: mladra@wsgr.com
2 JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155
Email: jyoon@wsgr.com
3 STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717
Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com
4 ROBIN L. BREWER, State Bar No. 253686
Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com
5 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
6 650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
7 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
8
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
FORTINET, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,
14 Plaintiff,
15 v.
16 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., and
PATRICK R. BROGAN,
17 Defendants.

) CASE NO.: 09-CV-00036-RMW (PVT)
)
) **ORDER GRANTING**
) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**
) **FILE DOCUMENTS AND PORTIONS**
) **OF DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**
) **PERTAINING TO FORTINET, INC.'S**
) **OPPOSITION TO PALO ALTO**
) **NETWORKS, INC.'S MOTION FOR**
) **SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF**
) **NONINFRINGEMENT AND**
) **INVALIDITY REGARDING THE '974**
) **PATENT**
)
)
)

20
21 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.’s administrative
 2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet’s Opposition to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.’s (“PAN”)
 3 Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement and Invalidity Regarding the ‘974 Patent
 4 (“Opposition”), portions of the Declaration of Dr. Matthew A. Bishop in Support of Fortinet’s
 5 Opposition (“Bishop Declaration”), and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Stefani E. Shanberg in
 6 Support of Fortinet’s Opposition (“Confidential Exhibit”) pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and
 7 79-5(c) and June 30, 2010 good cause appearing:

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet’s administrative motion is GRANTED.

9 Accordingly, the Confidential Exhibit, portions of the Bishop Declaration identified in
 10 the Administrative Motion and the following portions of Fortinet’s Opposition filed under seal:


- 11 a) TOC i.16 (following “PAN’s products do not. . .” through the end of the line);
- 12 b) TOC i.17-23;
- 13 c) 1:10-15;
- 14 d) 4:11-12;
- 15 e) 4:15-6:9;
- 16 f) 6:14;
- 17 g) 6:16-20;
- 18 h) 6:22;
- 19 i) 6:24-26;
- 20 j) 7:1-6;
- 21 k) 7:7-9 (following “it is woefully incomplete. . .” through “. . .(2) Mr. Zuk’s
 22 Deposition”);
- 23 l) 7:13-8:8;
- 24 m) 8:13-14 (following “PAN firewalls are . . .” through end of line 14);
- 25 n) 8:19-25;
- 26 o) 9:3 (following “PAN’s products do not . . .” through end of the line);
- 27 p) 9:4-5 (following “PAN products . . .” through “. . .Motion at 1, 7”);
- 28 q) 9:6-10:16;

1 r) 18:16-17 (following “products practice . . .” through “. . .Details regarding further
2 discovery”); and

3 s) 18:25-28 (following “241:15-16. . .” through end of footnote)

4 IT IS SO ORDERED

5
6
7 Dated: June 30, 2010


The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

8
9
10 Presented by:

11 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

12 /s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
13 Stefani E. Shanberg

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
15 FORTINET, INC.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28